inorganic compounds

Acta Crystallographica Section C Crystal Structure Communications

ISSN 0108-2701

Cu₃(CN)₄(NH₃)₂Hg(CN)₂: a novel interpenetrating framework formed from Cu¹, Cu¹¹, Hg¹¹ and cyanide bridges

Shin-ichi Nishikiori,* Ryo Sekiya and Kazumasa Hosoya

Department of Basic Science, Graduate School of Arts and Sciences, The University of Tokyo, 3-8-1 Komaba, Meguro, Tokyo 153-8902, Japan Correspondence e-mail: cnskor@mail.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

Received 20 March 2006 Accepted 30 March 2006 Online 22 April 2006

The title compound, poly[diamminehexa- μ -cyano-dicopper(I)copper(II)mercury(II)], $[Cu_3Hg(CN)_6(NH_3)_2]_n$, has a novel threefold-interpenetrating structure of three-dimensional frameworks. This three-dimensional framework consists of two-dimensional network Cu₃(CN)₄(NH₃)₂ complexes and rod-like Hg(CN)₂ complexes. The two-dimensional network complex contains trigonal-planar Cu^{I} (site symmetry m) and octahedral Cu^{II} (site symmetry 2/m) in a 2:1 ratio. Two types of cyanide group form bridges between three coordination sites of Cu^I and two equatorial sites of Cu^{II} to form a twodimensional structure with large hexagonal windows. One type of CN⁻ group is disordered across a center of inversion, while the other resides on the mirror plane. Two NH₃ molecules (site symmetry 2) are located in the hexagonal windows and coordinate to the remaining equatorial sites of Cu^{II} . Both N atoms of the rod-like Hg(CN)₂ group (Hg site symmetry 2/m and CN^{-} site symmetry m) coordinate to the axial sites of Cu^{II}. This linkage completes the threedimensional framework and penetrates two hexagonal windows of two two-dimensional network complexes to form the threefold-interpenetrating structure.

Comment

The concept of supramolecular chemistry (Lehn, 1985) has stimulated many chemists to create compounds having a multidimensional and interpenetrating structure. These attempts have typically involved the use of coordination bonds between metal ions and bridging ligands (Robson, 1996; Bowes & Ozin, 1996; Iwamoto, 1996*a*; Batten & Robson, 1998; Černák *et al.*, 2002). In this method, the bridging ligand is an important key, and many useful bridging ligands have been developed and used so far. Among these ligands, cyanide is a classic example and is one of the most simple; before the birth of supramolecular chemistry, cyanide was often used as a bridging unit for preparing metal complex compounds with a multidimensional framework structure (Iwamoto, 1984, 1991, 1996b). Although many structural variations of this type of compound have already been reported, more possibilities undoubtedly remain to be discovered. We present here a new simple compound having a novel interpenetrating framework formed by Cu^{I} , Cu^{II} and Hg^{II} atoms and cyanide bridges.

The crystal structure of the title compound, (I), is a threefold-interpenetrating structure of three-dimensional Cu₃- $(CN)_4(NH_3)_2Hg(CN)_2$ frameworks. The three-dimensional framework is formed from a two-dimensional network copper–cyanide complex, Cu₃(CN)₄(NH₃)₂, and a rod-like Hg(CN)₂ group connecting two Cu₃(CN)₄(NH₃)₂ complexes.





The structure of the two-dimensional network Cu₃(CN)₄(NH₃)₂ complex in the (201) plane. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) x, -y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$.]



Figure 2

The structure of the Cu2–N4–C4–Hg1–C4^v–N4^v–Cu2^v linkage penetrating two two-dimensional network complexes. Displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (ii) x, -y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (v) -x + 2, y, -z + 1; (vi) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, z$; (vii) $x + \frac{1}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, z$; (viii) -x + 1, y, -z + 1; (ix) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y - \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (x) $-x + \frac{3}{2}, y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (xi) x + 1, y, z.]

Figs. 1–3 show the two-dimensional $Cu_3(CN)_4(NH_3)_2$ complex, the rod-like $Hg(CN)_2$ as a bridging unit and the resulting interpenetrating structure, respectively.

The two-dimensional network complex contains Cu^I and Cu^{II} in a 2:1 ratio, denoted by Cu1 and Cu2, respectively. Atom Cu1 has a trigonal-planar coordination, with its three coordination sites occupied by cyanide bridges. The cyanide bridges are classified into two groups. One links Cu1 to a crystallographically equivalent Cu1 atom. This cyanide ligand, which is denoted by $X1/X1^{1}$ here, is in a structurally disordered state because its mid-point sits on an inversion center of the crystal [symmetry code: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$]. The Cu1ⁱ- $X1^{i} - X1 - Cu1$ bridge is repeated along a twofold screw axis parallel to the b axis to form a zigzag $Cu1^{i} - X1^{i} - X1 - Cu X1^{ii} - X1^{iv} - Cu^{iv}$ linkage [symmetry codes: (ii) x, -y, z; (iv) $-x + \frac{1}{2}$, $y - \frac{1}{2}$, -z + 1]. At the remaining coordination site of Cu1, the other type of cyanide group, C2/N2, is bound by its C atom, while the N atom is coordinated to atom Cu2 to form a Cu1-C2-N2-Cu2 bridge parallel to the [102] direction. Atom Cu2 sits on a 2/m symmetry site, whose twofold rotation axis is parallel to the *b* axis, so that another $C2^{iii}/N2^{iii}$ cyanide ligand is coordinated at the trans site of Cu2 to form a Cu1-C2-N2-Cu2-N2ⁱⁱⁱ-C2ⁱⁱⁱ-Cu1ⁱⁱⁱ linkage [symmetry code: (iii) 1 - x, -y, -z]. These two kinds of cyanide linkages form the two-dimensional network structure spreading over the (201) plane. The two-dimensional network has hexagonal windows elongated toward the $[10\overline{2}]$ direction. The NH₃ molecules are located in these windows on twofold rotation axes and are coordinated to Cu2 in a trans fashion (Fig. 1).

As shown in Fig. 2, atom Hg1, which sits on a 2/m symmetry site, has a linear coordination geometry to give a rod-like Hg(CN)₂ complex. Both N atoms of the Hg(CN)₂ complex are coordinated to the axial sites of Cu2 atoms to form a Cu2-N4-C4-Hg1-C4^v-N4^v-Cu2^v linkage along the [101] direction [symmetry code: (v) -x + 2, y, -z + 1]. This linkage completes the three-dimensional framework structure of this compound. Moreover, the linkage runs through two hexagonal



Figure 3

The $Cu_3(CN)_4(NH_3)_2$ complexes in (I) stacked along the [101] direction. The Cu-N-C-Hg-C-N-Cu linkage runs along the same direction and penetrates two $Cu_3(CN)_4(NH_3)_2$ complexes to form a threefold interpenetrating structure. Cu and Hg atoms are highlighted with shading. windows of the two two-dimensional $Cu_3(CN)_4(NH_3)_2$ complexes, which are stacked along the [101] direction. Therefore, the whole crystal structure is a threefold interpenetrating framework, as shown in Fig. 3.

The Cu2-N4 bond length is noticeably longer than the other two Cu2-N bond lengths (Table 1). This large structural deviation from an ideal octahedron arises from the Jahn-Teller effect, which often appears in Cu^{II} complexes, and our case is within the expected range of 2.11-3.28 Å for Cu^{II}N₆type complexes in a solid phase (Gažo et al., 1976). An example that is close to our case is an elongated Cu^{II}-N bond length of 2.583 (13) Å found in a Cu^{II} -N-C-Hg-C-N- Cu^{II} linkage in the complex [(tmeda)Cu{Hg(CN)₂}][HgCl₄] (Draper et al., 2003). The Cu2-N4-C4 bond angle of $125.0(7)^{\circ}$ is also far from the ideal value of 180° for such an M-N-C bond angle. This angular distortion is often observed in analogous compounds. In [(tmeda)Cu{Hg- $(CN)_{2}_{2}$ [HgCl₄], a value of 134.6 (7)° was reported for the $Cu^{II}-N-C$ bond angle at the elongated $Cu^{II}-N$ bond (Draper et al., 2003). In [Cu(en)₂][Ni(CN)₄], a value of 123.6 (4) $^{\circ}$ was reported for the corresponding angle (Lokaj et al., 1991). Our case is not far from these examples. However, these geometric parameters and the large displacement parameter of atom N4 suggest that the connection between atoms Cu2 and N4 is considerably weakened. As another view, it might be possible that this compound is a clathrate compound in which the $Cu_3(CN)_4(NH_3)_2$ host includes $Hg(CN)_2$ complex guests.

There has been astonishing progress in the structural development of multidimensional structures of complexes using bridging ligands. In those studies, ligands with a large size and a complicated structure are often used. Such approaches are reasonable and important for creating new structures. However, unknown and interesting topology is still buried even in simple systems. Our compound is a good example.

Experimental

 $K_2[Hg(CN)_4]$ (1.8 mmol) and an equimolar amount of CuCN were dissolved in water (25 ml). The solution was poured into a 50 ml vial and the vial was sealed. The air in the vial was not replaced with inert gas. After 17 months, dark-blue crystals of the title compound were found in the solution, whose color was pale blue at that time. Although the details of its formation reaction are unknown, the crystals contained NH_3 and Cu^{II} ions, which were not added to the solution at the initial stage. The presence of NH_3 was supported by elemental analysis and the IR spectrum, and that of Cu^{II} was confirmed from the crystal color and the electric charge balance of the compound. Elemental analysis found: C 12.20, H 1.15, N 19.25%; calculated for $C_6H_6Cu_3HgN_8$: C 12.40, H 1.04, N 19.27%.

Crystal data

 $\begin{bmatrix} Cu_3Hg(CN)_6(NH_3)_2 \end{bmatrix} \\ M_r = 581.40 \\ Monoclinic, C2/m \\ a = 8.915 (5) Å \\ b = 8.095 (4) Å \\ c = 10.572 (6) Å \\ \beta = 116.00 (2)^{\circ} \\ V = 685.7 (7) Å^3 \end{bmatrix}$

Z = 2 $D_x = 2.814 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$ Mo K\alpha radiation $\mu = 15.73 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 153 KBlock, dark blue $0.19 \times 0.16 \times 0.11 \text{ mm}$

inorganic compounds

Data collection

Rigaku R-AXIS RAPID imagingplate diffractometer ω scans Absorption correction: numerical (*NUMABS*; Higashi, 1999) $T_{\min} = 0.088, T_{\max} = 0.177$

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.030$ $wR(F^2) = 0.085$ S = 1.121071 reflections 55 parameters H-atom parameters constrained

Table 1

Selected geometric parameters (Å, °).

Hg1-C4	2.049 (7)	Cu2-N4	2.657 (9)
Cu1 - X1	1.952 (5)	$X1 - X1^{i}$	1.172 (9)
Cu1-C2	1.919 (8)	N2-C2	1.160 (10)
Cu2-N2	1.975 (7)	N4-C4	1.134 (9)
Cu2-N3	2.034 (7)		
$Cu1 - X1 - X1^{i}$	174.8 (6)	N2-Cu2-N3	90.00 (2)
Cu1-C2-N2	179.9 (7)	N2-Cu2-N4	95.0 (3)
$X1^{ii}$ -Cu1- $X1$	108.3 (2)	N2-Cu2-N4 ⁱⁱⁱ	85.0 (3)
X1-Cu1-C2	125.69 (15)	N3-Cu2-N4	90.00 (2)
Cu2-N2-C2	178.3 (7)	Hg1-C4-N4	178.3 (8)
Cu2-N4-C4	125.0 (7)		

3830 measured reflections

 $R_{\rm int}=0.052$

 $\theta_{\rm max} = 30.0^\circ$

1071 independent reflections 1071 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$

 $w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0272P)^2]$

where $P = (F_{o}^{2} + 2F_{c}^{2})/3$

Extinction correction: *SHELXL97* Extinction coefficient: 0.0103 (9)

+ 0.6087P]

 $\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -2.02 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

 $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001$ $\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 3.21 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$

Symmetry codes: (i) $-x + \frac{1}{2}, -y + \frac{1}{2}, -z + 1$; (ii) x, -y, z; (iii) -x + 1, -y, -z.

X1, which is an atom of the disordered $X1/X1^{i}$ cyanide group, was treated as a hybrid atom of 50% N and 50% C in the structure refinement. H atoms were placed at idealized positions and refined as riding, with N-H distances of 1.01 Å and $U_{iso}(H)$ values of 1.5 $U_{eq}(N3)$.

Data collection: *PROCESS-AUTO* (Rigaku, 1998); cell refinement: *PROCESS-AUTO*; data reduction: *PROCESS-AUTO*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3 for Windows* (Farrugia, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *SHELXL97* and *PLATON* (Spek, 2003).

The authors thank Professor Nobuyuki Matsushita, University of Tokyo, for providing the use of the diffractometer.

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: SQ3012). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

References

- Batten, S. R. & Robson, R. (1998). Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 37, 1460-1494.
- Bowes, C. L. & Ozin, G. A. (1996). Adv. Mater. 8, 13-28.
- Černák, J., Orendáč, M., Potočňák, I., Chomič, J., Orendáčová, A., Skoršepa, J. & Feher, A. (2002). Coord. Chem. Rev. 224, 51–66.
- Draper, N. D., Batchelor, R. J., Sih, B. C., Ye, Z.-G. & Leznoff, D. B. (2003). *Chem. Mater.* 15, 1612–1616.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Gažo, J., Bersuker, I. B., Garaj, J., Kabešová, M., Kohout, J., Langfelderová, H., Melník, M., Serátor, M. & Valach, F. (1976). *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 19, 253– 297.
- Higashi, T. (1999). NUMABS. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Iwamoto, T. (1984). Inclusion Compounds, Vol. 1, edited by J. L. Atwood,
- J. E. D. Davies & D. D. MacNicol, pp. 29–57. London: Academic Press. Iwamoto, T. (1991). *Inclusion Compounds*, Vol. 5, edited by J. L. Atwood,
- J. E. D. Davies & D. D. MacNicol, pp. 177–212. Oxford University Press. Iwamoto, T. (1996a). *Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry*, Vol. 6, edited

by D. D. MacNicol, F. Toda & R. Bishop, pp. 643–690. Oxford: Pergamon. Iwamoto, T. (1996b). J. Inclusion Phenom. 24, 61–132.

- Lehn, J.-M. (1985). Science, 227, 849-856.
- Lokaj, J., Gyerová, K., Sopková, A., Sivý, J., Kettmann, V. & Vrábel, V. (1991). Acta Cryst. C47, 2447–2448.
- Rigaku (1998). PROCESS-AUTO. Rigaku Corporation, Tokyo, Japan.
- Robson, R. (1996). Comprehensive Supramolecular Chemistry, Vol. 6, edited
- by D. D. MacNicol, F. Toda & R. Bishop, pp. 733–755. Oxford: Pergamon. Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.
- Spek, A. L. (2003). J. Appl. Cryst. 36, 7-13.